

*I have also answered to the questions
which are probably to be raised by the
addressees and participants of the
conference*

**1-To what domains this mechanism can be
applied?**

In view of the existing flexibility it can be applied
to all environmental domains.

2-Can you explain it within the domain of hunting by an example?

If the violator should catch fish, he (she) should release baby fish into the body of water. If a bird is hunted, security measures should be taken and suitable food should be provided for better and quicker growth of other birds, or if there is a possibility of releasing the young fowls of the same species such as partridges, he (she) should buy and release them in the nature.

3-Can you explain direct and indirect revitalization?

Direct revitalization means that there is a possibility of production or creation of proper conditions for the growth of a particular species. Indirect revitalization happens when there is no possibility of doing so or a species has become extinct therefore proper conditions should be established for the protection and conservation

4-What is a reason that the violator should not revitalize himself (herself) and why is he (she) fined in this respect?

Because this person is not mentally and scientifically qualified to do this otherwise, he (she) would not commit the crime.

5-Why should violators pay a fine to as much as several times of the amount of losses?

Because this punishment intend to prevent the present violator and prospective violators from committing similar crimes while revitalization of natural resources and protecting them burden a heavy cost, that is why the violator should provide a several-fold cost.

A person who damage or cut **1** tree should cultivate for example **10** saplings and cultivate **100** saplings against cutting **10** trees.

If we look at this process we will witness a rapid growth and increase in the number of the trees of a region.

*Thank you
Aghil jasemian*