Legal Recognition of the Human Right to a Healthy Environment in Australia:
Useful, Redundant or Dangerous?

Meg Good

Abstract:

Australia holds the (perhaps dubious) distinction of being the only western democratic nation without a national bill of rights. It is therefore unsurprising to note that Australia is one of only fifteen nations that does 'not yet recognize that their citizens possess a legal right to a healthy environment'. Accordingly, an important question to consider is why Australia has failed to embrace rights-based approaches to environmental protection and management, and whether and to what extent these approaches may be of some utility in the Australian context. Countries around the world have begun to integrate versions of the human right to a healthy environment into their constitutions, laws, policies and political rhetoric. Australia is well placed to learn from this experience in order to make an informed decision about whether it should join the ‘environmental rights revolution’. The aim of this presentation is to explore whether legal recognition of the human right to a healthy environment in Australia could offer potential benefits for environmental protection, or whether legal recognition may in fact prove redundant or even dangerous in its operation.
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4 For an excellent summary of the ‘environmental rights revolution’ see generally, Boyd above n 2.